



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,170	05/20/2002	Simo Saari	21261	7493

7590 08/10/2006
Stevens, Davis, Miller & Mosher, L.L.P.
1615 L Street, N.W.
Suite 850
Washington, DC 20036

EXAMINER

HOGE, GARY CHAPMAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3611

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/069,170	Applicant(s) SAARI, SIMO	
	Examiner Gary C. Hoge	Art Unit 3611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 May 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 6-8 and 11 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 9 and 10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goldfarb (3,100,608).

Goldfarb shows in Figs. 1-2 a holder comprising a front side (the side facing the belt) and a reverse side (the side that includes the yo-yo 45) and provided with holding or gripping elements 12, 13, a suspension clip 38, a yo-yo 45 directly attached to the reverse side of the holder, and string 28 attached to the clip 38. The yo-yo is partially or completely masked by the holder when viewed from the front side (the side facing the belt). It is noted that the recitation that the gripping elements are “for the identification card” and “for placing the identification card removably on the front side of the holder” is merely a statement of intended use. It would be possible to shove a card into the space between the holder and the gripping elements.

Regarding claim 9, it has been held that the term “integral” is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding. *In re Hotte*, 177 USPQ 326, 328 (CCPA 1973).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldfarb (3,100,608) in view of Rumpp (6,302,617).

Goldfarb discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However, Goldfarb does not disclose providing a clamp element between the holder and the yo-yo for allowing the yo-yo to be releasably attached to the holder. Rumpp shows in Fig. 1 a clamp element 2 that is located between a first element 3, 16 and a second element 1, 9 and allows the second element to be releasably attached to the first element. The clamp element includes lugs 5 which allow the clamp element to be secured to the second element 1, 9. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goldfarb by placing a clamp element between the holder and the yo-yo, as taught by Rumpp, because this would allow the yo-yo to be attached to and removed from the holder in an easier and faster manner.

Regarding claim 3, Rumpp shows clamp protrusions 7a - 7d and collars 18a - 18d.

Regarding claim 4, the collars form an annular recess as broadly defined.

Regarding claim 5, Rumpp shows that the slots and tongues 7a - 7d have different sizes.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldfarb (3,100,608) in view of Buell (6,095,567).

Goldfarb discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth above. However, Goldfarb does not disclose attaching a microchip to the holder. Buell shows in Fig. 1 the idea of attaching a microchip **22** to a keychain device. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goldfarb by attaching a microchip to the holder, as taught by Buell, because this would allow additional information about the keys to be stored on the holder in a more convenient and secure manner.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6-8 and 11 allowed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed May 15, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Goldfarb, Applicant states that Goldfarb “neither teaches nor suggests an identification card, nor [is] capable of being used with an identification card.” But, as stated above, the recitation that the holder is for an identification card is merely a statement of intended use, and as such does not require the presence of an identification card in the holder.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary C. Hoge whose telephone number is (571) 272-6645. The examiner can normally be reached on 5-4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (571) 272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Art Unit: 3611

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Gary C Hoge
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3611

gch